PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD
Effective October 1, 2004

(12/8/4)

Application or Docket Number 09/772451

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	SMALL ENTITY TYPE ☐			OR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
			RATE	FEE		RATE	FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS			BASIC FEE	395.00	OR	BASIC FEE	790.00
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA					
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	minus 20=	*	x 25		OR	x 50	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	minus 3 =	*	x 100		OR	x 200	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT ☐			+180		OR	+360	
			TOTAL		OR	TOTAL	

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

	(Column 1)		(Column 2)	(Column 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
AMENDMENT A 12/13/4	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
Total	19	Minus	20	=	x 25		OR	x 50	
Independent	6	Minus	6	=	x 100		OR	x 200	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM ☐					+180		OR	+360	
					TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

	(Column 1)		(Column 2)	(Column 3)					
AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
Total	17	Minus	20		x 25		OR	x 50	
Independent	6	Minus	6		x 100		OR	x 200	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM ☐					+180		OR	+360	
					TOTAL ADDIT FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

	(Column 1)		(Column 2)	(Column 3)					
AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
Total	•	Minus	••	=	x 25		OR	x 50	
Independent	••	Minus	•••	=	x 100		OR	x 200	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM ☐					+180		OR	+360	
					TOTAL ADDIT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.
** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".
*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".
The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

FORM PTO-875

United States Patent and Trademark Office
            - Sales Receipt -

09/30/2005 TMCBETH   00000001 502421   09772451

01 FC:1251   120.00 DA

Attorney Docket No. CLAR-00200

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SEP 0 6 2005

Applicant:	David Yin-Shur Ma	Art Unit:	2143
Serial No.	09/772,451	Examiner:	Choudhury, Azizul Q
Filed:	01/29/2001	Confirmation No.:	2593

Title: Method and Apparatus for Facilitating Communication Between a Wireless
Device and Disparate Devices or Systems

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (703-872-9306) on:

Date: September 6, 2005

Total Pages: 17

Kim Thu Van-Dinh

Kim Thu Van-Dinh

---

* * *

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment After Final Action
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on May 3, 2005, in the above-identified

application, please amend the application as follows.

1

Request for First Extension of Time

Applicants hereby make a First Request for a First Extension of Time to extend the time for response from August 3, 2005 to September 6, 2005. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees for this extension of time due or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2421.

Remarks:

This Amendment represents a sincere effort to respond to all of the issues raised in the Final Office Action of May 3, 2005, and to place the claims in condition for allowance or to reduce the issues for appeal.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 3-5, and 7-19 are the only pending claims.

In the Final Office Action of May 3, 2005, all of the claims were rejected under 35 USC 102 over U.S. Patent No. 6,557,029B2, of Szymansky et al. ("Szymansky") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,363,482 to Shani ("Shani").

Final Office Action of May 3, 2005

All of the claims were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,557,029 to Szymansky ("Szymansky") in view of U.S. Patent 6,363,482 to Shani et al. The specific cited passages in Szymanski and Shani are shown below.